# Inner disk structure and accretion

Ágnes Kóspál Konkoly Observatory

http://konkoly.hu/staff/kospal/teaching.html

Oct 11, 2017

### Main literature

#### C. P. Dullemond & J. D. Monnier Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 2010, 48:205–239



#### **ANNUAL Further**

Click here for quick links to Annual Reviews content online, including:

- Other articles in this volume
- Top cited articles
- Top downloaded articles
- Our comprehensive search

#### The Inner Regions of Protoplanetary Disks

#### C.P. Dullemond<sup>1</sup> and J.D. Monnier<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany; email: dullemon@mpia.de

<sup>2</sup>Astronomy Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109; email: monnier@umich.edu

#### The Inner Regions of Protoplanetary Disks



### Rich structure

- Large dynamic range
  - spatial scale: few stellar radii  $\leftrightarrow$  100 1000 au
  - orbital timescale: factor of 10<sup>6</sup> difference between inner and outer disk
  - temperature: >1000 K  $\leftrightarrow$  10 30 K
- Inner I au is a puzzle because:
  - Difficult to spatially resolve
  - Physics is poorly understood (hot → dust evaporates)
  - Numerical modeling is challenging

# Inner disk

- Roughly < I au
- Temperature is high enough to evaporate dust grains
- Energy is radiated in the UV, visible, and NIR
- Until recently, unresolved region (I au at I 50 pc is 7 mas)
- Spectroscopy gave hints about complex structure and interesting physics
- Now: IR interferometry



# Existence of disks?

- Presence of disks: for low- and intermediate mass stars, it's now well established
- Indicator for circumstellar material: IR excess
- Outer part of the circumstellar material is disk-like (direct imaging)
- What about the inner (unresolved) part?
- Can it be spherical? No, there is no correlation between NIR excess and  $A_{\rm V}$



### T Tauri and BD disks

 SED shape of T Tauri stars and BDs consistent with flat or flared disk geometry



# NIR bump

- Herbig Ae/Be stars often show a NIR bump
- JHKL line up to form a ~1500 K blackbody



# $1500 \text{ K} \leftrightarrow \text{dust sublimation}$

- Most species of interstellar dust can survive until I 500 K
- Reasonable assumption: NIR bump is due to emission from dust grains on the brink of evaporation
- Dust dominates the opacity; gas is much less optically thick (may even be optically thin / transparent)
- Consequence: the dust rim looks like an optically thick "wall" seen from the inside

### Inner disk structure

Proposal of Natta et al. (2001) and Tuthill, Monnier & Danchi (2001):



#### Inner dust wall naturally explains the NIR bump

# Puffed-up inner rim

- Dust wall is puffed-up, because it is hotter → vertical scale height is higher
- Dullemond, Duminik & Natta (2001): complete description of Herbig Ae/Be star SEDs in terms of a simple irradiated disk model
- Why do only Herbig stars show this feature?
- Lower luminosity, lower temperature → stellar emission is at longer wavelengths, bump is relatively weaker than in Herbig stars, but it is there.

## NIR bump in T Tauri stars



# Shadowing by puffed-up rim in Herbig stars

 Radiative diffusion is important

Group I (flared disk)

 Disk will never collapse completely

Meeus et al. (2001) Dullemond & Dominik (2004)



# Dust rim: not vertical!



- No clear correlation between the NIR flux and the disk inclination
- AB Aur: almost face-on, but has a huge NIR bump
- Solution: rounded rim

# Evaporation/condensation

- Complex process, depends not only on T
- Depends also on the abundance of condensable atoms in the gas phase (partial pressure)
- For a given gas density, there is a critical  $T_{evap}$ :
- Above T<sub>evap</sub>, dust evaporates
   Below T<sub>evap</sub>, dust condensates
   Rounded-off rim model of Isella & Natta (2005)
   Kama, Min & Dominik (2007)
   Kama, Min & Dominik (2007)

# Dust rim: not vertical!



- Spatially resolve the NIR emission from the rim?
- Difficult: I au  $\leftrightarrow$  7 mas (at the distance of Taurus)
- Needs NIR interferometry
- Image reconstruction is now possible yet, model fitting is needed

- Challenging: so far only 2 telescope (I baseline), 3 telescopes (3 baselines) or 4 telescopes (6 baselines) could be joined
- Past IR interferometers: Palomar Testbed Interferometer (PTI), Keck Interferometer; Infrared Optical Telescope Array (IOTA); Infrared Spatial Interferometer (ISI); Cambridge Optical Aperture Synthesis Telescope (COAST)





 Current NIR interferometers: CHARA array, VLTI (AMBER, PIONIER, GRAVITY)

Vega (Aufdenberg et al. 2006)

- In most cases IR interferometry does not provide images, model fitting is required to interpret the visibilities
- Few exceptions: Vega, Altair (bright stellar disks)



#### Interpretation of interferometric observations:





# Size-luminosity diagram

- Let's fit the visibilities with a simple ring model
- This gives the radius of the inner rim for each system
- Let's compare it with the stellar luminosity
- Expected result: R<sub>rim</sub> ~ | \*<sup>1/2</sup>



- The assumption of optically thin gas inward of the rim is rather crude. Muzerolle et al. (2004): for low accretion rates the gas is sufficiently transparent, but for higher rates (>10<sup>-8</sup> M<sub>Sun</sub>/yr) the gas is optically thick.
- First question to clarify: gas opacities
- $T_{rim} < T < T_{star}$

**Pure gas disk** Dust inner rim

- Temperature is too low for continuum opacity sources (like H<sup>-</sup>) except for tenuous surface layers
- Billions of atomic and molecular lines!



- Complex problem
- Opacity is high at line center, low between the lines
- Opacity is low between 0.2–0.4 μm
- Molecules are easily destroyed (collisions, UV photons)
- Usually, we assume local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), i.e.  $T_{kin} = T_{ex} = T_{rad}$

# Probing the inner dust-free disk with gas line observations

- Expectation: strong molecular emission
- Observation: deficit of molecules
- CO fundamental ( $\Delta v=1$ ) lines are commonly found (formed in the surface layer between 0.1 and 2 au, Najita et al. 2007)
- CO overtone (Δv=2) lines are rarer, excited at >1000 K in the innermost part of the disk (0.05-0.3 au)

### CO fundamental lines



### CO overtone lines

#### Near-infrared (2.3–2.4 $\mu$ m) $\Delta v=2$



CO overtone lines



#### CO overtone lines



CO overtone lines







Spectro-astrometry:

- Measure the centroid of the image as a function of wavelength/velocity
- If S/N is good enough, tiny sub-pixel shifts can be observed



Evidence for CO emission from a disk in Keplerian rotation

- Where does the hydrogen emission come from?
- Evidence for high-velocity gas farther from the star than predicted by a Keplerian model



(Kóspál et al. 2011)



(Martin 1997)

# Early models for accretion

#### Boundary layer



#### Lynden-Bell & Pringle (1974)



 Material must slow down, radiate away the energy

# Early models for accretion

#### Magnetospheric accretion



Kamenzind (1990) Königl (1991)

- Stellar magnetic field truncates the disk
- Gas infall along magnetic lines at free-fall velocities

# Magnetospheric accretion

- High latitude accretion shocks
- X-ray/EUV radiation immediately absorbed, producing UV-optical excess, consistent with observations
- If accretion occurs in magnetic "columns", or if the magnetic axis is misaligned with the rotation axis, photometric changes appear



(Romanova et al. 2011)

# Magnetospheric accretion

Pros: it explains

- hot spots rotating with the star
- absence of emission from boundary layer
- slower rotation of stars with inner disks (due to the disk torque communicated to the star by the magnetic field)
- emission line profiles of permitted lines (inverse P Cygni redshifted absorption features)

### Redshifted absorption



Indicates mass infall at high velocities (freefalling gas along the magnetic field lines)

Edwards et al (1994)

### Observations vs. model

Line radiative transfer of magnetospheric infall can reproduce hydrogen line profiles and line fluxes



(Muzerolle et al. 1998)

# Accretion rate from lines?

- Ultimate goal: use emission lines to measure accretion rate
- Complications:
  - temperature and size of magnetosphere are important factors
  - Balmer lines and Br gamma are optically thick (no dependence on gas density!)
  - chromospheric activity also causes emission lines

### Accretion rate from continuum?



- U-band photometry
- $H\alpha$  line luminosity
- [OI]6300 line luminosity
- Bry line luminosity
- $H\alpha$  10% width

- U-band photometry
- Hα line luminosity
- [OI]6300 line luminosity
- Bry line luminosity
- Hα I0% width



 $\log(L_U/L_{\odot})$ 

 $\log \left( L_{\rm acc} / L_{\odot} \right) = 1.09^{+0.04}_{-0.18} \log \left( L_U / L_{\odot} \right) + 0.98^{+0.02}_{-0.07}$ 

- U-band photometry
- $H\alpha$  line luminosity
- [OI]6300 line luminosity
- Bry line luminosity

(Mendigutía et al. 2011)



- U-band photometry
- $H\alpha$  line luminosity
- [OI]6300 line luminosity

Herbig stars

- Bry line luminosity
- Hα I0% width

$$L_{\rm acc} \simeq \frac{GM_* \dot{M}}{R_*} \left(1 - \frac{R_*}{R_{\rm in}}\right)$$

where *M*<sup>\*</sup> is the stellar mass *R*<sup>\*</sup> is the stellar radius *R*<sub>in</sub> is the inner disk radius

#### T Tauri stars

$$\log\left(\frac{L_{acc}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 2.28(\pm 0.25) + 1.09(\pm 0.16) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{H\alpha}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \qquad \log\left(\frac{L_{acc}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 2.27(\pm 0.70) + 1.31(\pm 0.16) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{H\alpha}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{acc}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.80(\pm 0.50) + 1.13(\pm 0.14) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{[OI]6300}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \qquad \log\left(\frac{L_{acc}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 6.50(\pm 2.18) + 1.67(\pm 0.28) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{[OI]6300}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{acc}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 3.55(\pm 0.80) + 0.91(\pm 0.27) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \qquad \log\left(\frac{L_{acc}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{acc}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) \cdot \\ \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) = 4.43(\pm 0.79) + 1.26(\pm 0.19) \times \log\left(\frac{L_{Bry}}{L_{\odot}}\right) + 1.26(\pm 0$$

- U-band photometry
- Hα line luminosity
- [OI]6300 line luminos
- Bry line luminosity
- $H\alpha$  10% width

Good empirical correlation, but only works for T Tauri stars



#### Variability of accretion in Herbig stars Mendigutía et al. (2011):

- Multi-epoch Balmer excesses
- Multi-epoch  $H\alpha$  and [OI]6300 luminosities
- Most stars show constant Balmer excess (within the uncertainties); variation < 0.2 mag  $\rightarrow$  factor of < 5 in  $\dot{M}_{acc}$
- Two most extreme cases:
  V1686 Cyg: Balmer excess changed from 0.04 mag to
  0.18 mag → implies an accretion rate change of a factor
  < 5</li>
  WW Vul: Balmer excess changed from 0.14 mag to 0.04 mag → implies a accretion rate change of a factor < 4</li>

# Variability of accretion in T Tauri stars

#### **Eruptive phenomenon:**

5 mag optical outburst due to several orders of magnitude increase in the accretion rate

To be continued...



# Further reading

#### L. Hartmann, G. Herczeg, N. Calvet Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 2016, 54:135–180



#### REVIEWS Further

Click here to view this article's online features:

- Download figures as PPT slides
- Navigate linked references
- Download citations
- Explore related articles
- Search keywords

#### Accretion onto Pre-Main-Sequence Stars

#### Lee Hartmann,<sup>1</sup> Gregory Herczeg,<sup>2</sup> and Nuria Calvet<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109; email: lhartm@umich.edu, ncalvet@umich.edu

<sup>2</sup>Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China; email: gherczeg1@gmail.com