Protoplanetary Disks and Their Evolution

Jonathan P. Williams & Lucas A. Cieza Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 2011, 49:67-117

Circumstellar disks

- Disks accompany the birth of all low-mass stars
- Present for millions of years
- Their material builds up the star & planets
- Emit at IR and mm wavelengths → measure mass, size, structure, composition

Class 0: 10⁴ yrs; 10-10⁴ AU; 10-300 K

Class I-II: 10⁵⁻⁶ yrs; 1-1000 AU; 100-3000 K

The isolated star formation paradigm

Class II-III: 10⁶⁻⁷ yrs; 1-100 AU; 100-5000 K

Class IV: 10⁷⁻⁹ yrs; 1-100 AU; 100-5000 K

After Shu, Adams, & Lada

Circumstellar disks



Dullemond & Monnier 2010

First statistical studies



Strom et al. 1989

Evidence for flatness



O'Dell & Wen (1994)

Evidence for flatness



McCaughrean & O'Dell (1995)

New discoveries

- Infrared Space Observatory (ISO)
- Spitzer Space Telescope
- Herschel Space Observatory
- Ground-based mm interferometers: SMA, PdBI, CARMA, ALMA

Info on disks

- Regular reviews: Protostars & Planets conference series
- ARA&A papers:
 - cosmic silicates (Henning 2010)
 - inner disks (Dullemond & Monnier 2010)
 - debris disks (Wyatt 2008)
 - dynamical processes (Armitage 2011)

Circumstellar disks

I. Intro

- 2. YSO classification
- 3. Formation of disks
- 4. Properties of disks
- 5. Disk lifetimes
- 6. Processes governing disk evolution
- 7. Transitional disks, end state of disk evolution
- 8. Summary
- 9. Future directions

Classification of YSOs

- IR-based classification: Lada & Wilking (1984)
- Class I-II-III
- Spectral slope
 between 2 and 25 μm

$$\alpha_{\rm IR} = \frac{d \log \nu F_{\nu}}{d \log \nu} = \frac{d \log \lambda F_{\lambda}}{d \log \lambda}$$

- Flat spectrum; Class 0
- CTTS / WTTS
 EW(Hα) ~ 10 Å



Classification of YSOs

Table 1 Classification of young stellar objects

Class	SED slope	Physical properties	Observational characteristics
0	_	$M_{\rm env} > M_{\rm star} > M_{\rm disk}$	No optical or near-IR emission
Ι	$\alpha_{\rm IR} > 0.3$	$M_{\rm star} > M_{\rm env} \sim M_{\rm disk}$	Generally optically obscured
FS	$-0.3 < \alpha_{\rm IR} < 0.3$		Intermediate between Class I and II
II	$-1.6 < \alpha_{\rm IR} < -0.3$	$M_{\rm disk}/M_{\rm star} \sim 1\%, M_{\rm env} \sim 0$	Accreting disk; strong Hα and UV
III	$\alpha_{\rm IR} < -1.6$	$M_{\rm disk}/M_{\rm star} \ll 1\%, \ M_{\rm env} \sim 0$	Passive disk; no or very weak accretion

Problems:

- SED is ambiguous: objects with different amount/distribution of material can have similar SEDs
- Example: edge-on disks are highly extincted → seem less evolved

Disk formation

Theoretical calculations: Terebey, Shu & Cassen (1984)

- Uniformly rotating isothermal sphere
- Equilibrium is unstable to collapse
- Disks grow rapidly with time: $R(t) \sim \Omega^2 t^3$
- Various masses and sizes
- Role of magnetic field: unclear, but doesn't support the cloud from collapsing
- Disks form within 10⁴ years

Disk formation

Observations

- Disks are visible due to polar cavity in the envelope
- Inward motions in cores?
 Observed.



- Direct detection of gas flow onto a disk? Not observed yet.
- Imaging embedded disks: long wavelength to see through the envelope; >arcsec resolution to match disk sizes → mm interferometers

Rapid transport in disks

- Jørgensen et al. (2009): I. Imm continuum survey of 20 embedded YSOs
- Disk flux is typically 4x higher in Class 0 than in Class I.
- Class 0 sources are also hotter.
- Masses in both Class 0 and Class I are the same $\sim 0.02 0.1 \, M_{\odot}$; median disk mass = 0.04 M $_{\odot}$.
- Envelope mass declines by x10 from Class 0 to Class I
- Material is rapidly transported through the disk

Rapid transport in disks

- Cause of rapid transport: disk instability
- Gravitational instability during early formation
- Sporadic bursts of high accretion (FU Orionis)
- Prevents the disk from growing too much in mass
- Another evidence for episodic accretion: envelope infall rates are > 10x higher than disk accretion rates in Class IYSOs → mass builds up in the disks until it bursts
- Episodic accretion: possible solution for the luminosity problem

From Class I to Class II

- Class 0 + Class I phase lasts about 0.5 Myr
- By the end of Class I, envelope disperses
- Disk mass is typically 0.01 M_{star}
- Star formation process is effectively over
- Disk: protoplanetary, not protostellar
- Disk material:
 - accretes onto the star
 - disperses due to photoevaportion
 - coagulates into larger bodies

Properties of Class II disks

• Extinction is low \rightarrow stellar properties can be observed in the optical/near-IR



- Minimum mass solar nebula: lowest mass primordial disk that could have formed the solar system (computed by scaling planetary composition to cosmic abundances)
- MMSN = $0.01 0.07 M_{\odot}$
- $\sum \sim r^{-3/2}$

Disk mass: basics

- Best determined from (sub)millimeter observations
- Dust continuum emission is optically thin for most of the disk

• Optical depth:
$$\tau_{\nu} = \int \rho \kappa_{\nu} ds = \kappa_{\nu} \Sigma$$

 $\kappa_{\nu} = 0.1 \left(\frac{\nu}{10^{12} \text{ Hz}}\right)^{\beta} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$
where Σ is the projected surface density

where Σ is the projected surface density β is related to dust size and composition

 Dust continuum emission is optically thin for most of the disk

Optically thin emission

- In circumstellar disks: $\beta \sim I$
- $\kappa(1 \text{ mm}) = 0.03 \text{ cm}^2\text{g}^{-1}$
- $\tau(1 \text{ mm}) = 1 \text{ where } \Sigma = 30 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$
- Corresponds to 10 AU in the MMSN
- Corresponds to 0.07" in Taurus
- Disks are larger than this → most of the resolved emission is optically thin

• Disk mass:
$$M(\text{gas} + \text{dust}) = \frac{F_{\nu}d^2}{\kappa_{\nu}B_{\nu}(T)}$$
 $B_{\nu} \approx 2\nu^2 kT/c^2$

Disk mass distribution

- Large mm surveys: Beckwith et al. (1990) Taurus-Auriga André & Montmerle (1994) Ophiuchus
- Andrews & Williams (2005, 2007)
- Median disk mass for Class IYSOs: 5 MJup
- Median M_{disk} / $M_{star} = 0.009$
- Mass distribution in log mass bins: flat until 50 M_{Jup}

Disk mass distribution



Uncertainties in mass

- Gas-to-dust ratio is assumed to be interstellar (100)
 → overestimation
- Hidden mass in large grains \rightarrow underestimation
- Rule of thumb: observations at λ is sensitive to grains with sizes of < 3 λ
- Indications for severe underestimation:
 - measured disk masses are lower than what is expected by integrating the accretion rate over the protostellar age
 - not enough massive disks to match the statistics on the incidence of exoplanets

Disk radius

- Difficult to measure: outer parts are cold and faint
- Disk silhouettes in Orion: radii between 50 and 194 au median radius of 75 au



4h33m39

4^h27^m05^t

Right Ascension (J2000.0)

- Millimeter disk images: requires interferometry
- First large interferometric survey: Dutrey et al. (1996): typical disk sizes in 26°06'30' DL Tau DG Tau 25°20'50" (0.000ZL) Taurus: I - 2''26°06'20" 25°20'40" (r = 75 - 150 au)Declination 26°06'10" 25°20'30"

Dust size vs. gas size

 Problem: dust sizes ≠ gas sizes (size from CO lines larger than from dust continuum)



AB Aur Pietu et al. (2005)

Dust size vs. gas size

- Possible solutions:
- Change in the gas-to-dust ratio or dust opacity at a certain radius
- Exponentially tapered density profile:

$$\Sigma(R) = (2 - \gamma) \frac{M_d}{2\pi R_c^2} \left(\frac{R}{R_c}\right)^{-\gamma} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{R}{R_c}\right)^{2-\gamma}\right]$$

- Apparent size discrepancy! mm continuum is optically thin, CO line emission is optically thick → can be detected further out
- Rc: characteristic radius where the density profile begins to steepen significantly from a power law, typically Rc = 30 - 200 au

Parameter correlations

- Between disk size and disk mass: $M_d \propto R_c^{1.6\pm0.3}$
- Between disk size and stellar
 properties: no correlation



Andrews et al. (2009)

Disk structure – Σ

- Resolved mm image of the disk → total mass + radial mass distribution
- Usual parametrization: power law: $\Sigma \sim R^{-p}$
- _P = 0 ... I
- Exponentially tapered edge

$$\Sigma(R) = (2 - \gamma) \frac{M_d}{2\pi R_c^2} \left(\frac{R}{R_c}\right)^{-\gamma} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{R}{R_c}\right)^{2-\gamma}\right]$$

- Approximates $\Sigma \sim R^{-\gamma}$ for R << Rc
- $\gamma = -0.8 \dots 0.8 \pmod{0.1}$
- Σ distribution is quite flat (cf. p=1.5 for MMSN)

Σ distribution

- p exponent for MMSN is very uncertain
- Let's compare directly the absolute value of Σ at different radial distances
- $\Sigma = 10 \dots 100 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$ at 20 au
- Good match
- Toomre parameter: $Q(R) = c \Omega/\pi G\Sigma$
- Class II are typically gravitationally stable



Disk structure – H

- H vertical scale height
- First idea of a flared disk: Kenyon & Hartmann (1987)
- H must increase with R
- Density:

$$o(R, Z) = \frac{\Sigma(R)}{\sqrt{2\pi}H} \exp\left(-\frac{Z^2}{2H^2}\right)$$

Scale height is power-law:
 H ~ R^h, with
 h = 1.3 ... 1.5





Disk structure – v

- In Class II: $M_{disk} \ll M_{star}$
- Expectation: Keplerian velocity field
- Method: spectral line observations
- Challenge: target needs to be bright enough for the individual channel maps to have high S/N ratio; no background cloud / envelope contamination
- Done for a handful of disks
- Now almost routine task with ALMA

Disk rotation



Line broadening



- Typically: rotational + thermal
- HD 163296: evidence for turbulent broadening (Hughes et al. 2011)

Azimuthal symmetries?

- Azimuthal variations are interesting because they would indicate additional effects:
 - self-gravity
 - protoplanets
 - dust traps
 - vortices





van der Marel et al. (2013)



Disk composition – dust

- Dust dominates the opacity + dust makes the planets
- Mainly silicates
- Dust processing (amorphous → crystalline)
- Grain growth (submicron \rightarrow mm)



Disk composition – gas

- 99% of the total mass of ISM
- 99% of the total mass of disks (at least initially)
- Difficult to detect (H₂ has no easily observable lines)
- Ways to observe the gas:
 - Disk accretion (recombination lines, excess hot LIMA
 Direct imaging (HST or 8-meter ground-based)
 - MIR mol.
 lines
 - FIR mol. lines



Disk composition – gas



Dependence on stellar mass

- Disks have been detected around
 - Brown dwarfs
 - T Tauri stars of various masses
 - Herbig Ae/Be stars
- Expectation: higher mass stars require more mass to pass through their disks
- M_{disk} / M_{star} ~ 0.01



Dependence on stellar mass

- What about more massive stars?
- No disks around optically visible O stars
- M_{disk} / M_{star} < 10⁻⁴ for M_{star} > 10 M $_{\odot}$
- Cause?
 - High photoevaporation rate (disk disappears by the time the star becomes visible)
 - Different star formation mechanism than for lower-mass stars

Dependence on stellar mass

- No clear correlation between disk size and stellar mass
- Scale height stellar mass? Disks around lower mass stars tend to be flatter